

W52P1J-04-C-0156 (ATTACHMENT 9)
ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION CLAUSES

SECTION M EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD (BASIS FOR AWARD, FACTORS AND SUBFACTORS TO BE EVALUATED, EVALUATION APPROACH) 15.204-5 (C) OCT 1997

M-1 The following are the evaluation factors for award:

(a) In accordance with FAR 15.101-2, lowest price, technically acceptable proposals will be utilized to determine the best value to the Government.

(b) Evaluation Factors:

(1) Price: The Contract Specialist will evaluate the prices quoted in Section B, the Option Clause and any other price related factors included in the solicitation.

(2) Technical Evaluation: Offers will be evaluated based on recent, relevant Government and Commercial orders completed for Aluminum Powder and/or similar materials manufactured. The Type and Grade of Aluminum Powder produced, quantity and organization or company that received the order will be considered. Additionally, offerors will be evaluated based on their recent, relevant delivery performance record. To determine delivery performance, the original contract delivery schedule will be compared to the actual deliveries made. Offerors should submit with their proposals, reasons for not meeting the delivery schedules. If no reasons for slippages are submitted, it will be assumed there are none. Slippages and their reasons will be noted.

(3) Recent is defined as occurring within the past three (3) years prior to the solicitation's initial closing date. In addition, the Government has the right to consider information regarding contractor performance up to the date of award. Relevant is defined as having previously produced like or similar items. Like or similar items are defined as items that have been produced utilizing similar manufacturing processes, essential skills and unique techniques in manufacturing. The Government reserves the right to determine whether an item is like or similar and whether production quantities apply to the current requirement for evaluation purposes. Offerors shall provide a written explanation of how/why they believe their past performance/manufacturing experience for similar materials is relevant.

(c) For the purpose of this acquisition, **offeror** is defined as prime contractor and key subcontractors identified by the prime contractor. The offeror shall include subcontractors that will perform major critical aspects of the requirement, team members, partners, and other entities that comprise the offeror. Accordingly, information requested to determine technical acceptability must be provided for the manufacturer, if applicable. Offerors, who have undergone a name change, novation, or other similar corporate changeover, will be evaluated according to relevant performance of their predecessor.

(e) **Important Notice to Offerors:** For the purpose of this solicitation, offers shall include best value data with their original proposal. Data requested for evaluation has been clearly identified in section L of the solicitation. Data submitted may represent either Government or commercial contracts; however, the data should be recent and relevant to the material being procured

(End of Provision)

MF6012

**SECTION M, EVALUATION FACTORS AND SIGNIFICANT SUBFACTORS FOR
AWARD 15.304-5(C) OCT 1997**

M-2 A best value, firm fixed price contract is contemplated for award. There will be a 150% evaluated option included in this award. The solicitation will be a competitive, unrestricted, full and open competition under FAR Part 12, Commercial Items. The Government expects to award a contract to the offeror whose proposal is determined to represent the "best value" to the Government. Any area of the offer requiring clarification will be referred to the Procuring Contracting Officer for resolution. The Procuring Contracting Officer reserves the right to contact offerors for clarification, without opening discussions. The Government anticipates awarding a contract without opening discussions and without a site visit.

a. Award will be based upon the following evaluation factors: The offeror (and/or manufacturer) must have recent, relevant Experience in manufacturing Aluminum Powder (or a similar material) to be rated technically acceptable.

b. The offeror's delivery performance will be evaluated as satisfactory or unsatisfactory based on their delivery performance record during the previous three years. Offerors that have an unexplained delinquency rate of 25 percent or greater will be rated "unsatisfactory" and will be considered technically unacceptable and will no longer be considered for award.

C. Award: An award decision will be accomplished based on the lowest evaluated price and recent, relevant past delivery performance for Aluminum Powder or like or similar material

(End of Provision)

MF6025